



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

fw

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/955,582	09/18/2001	Jimmy D. Thornton	S-96,894	9380

7590 01/29/2003

Paul A. Gottlieb  
United States Department of Energy  
GC-62 (FORSTL) MS-6F-067  
1000 Independence Ave., S.W.  
Washington, DC 20585

EXAMINER

COCKS, JOSIAH C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3743

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/955,582

Applicant(s)

THORNTON ET AL.

Examiner

Josiah C. Cocks

Art Unit

3743

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

### ***Drawings***

2. This application has been filed with informal drawings that are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

### ***Specification***

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification on page 13 in the paragraph beginning at line 15, reference character "16" is used to designate the nozzle, it appears, however, that reference character "10" should be used instead.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3743

***Claim Objections***

4. Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: In lines 1-2 it appears "wherein said a means for supplying a fuel to the fuel nozzle at a rate that is electronically coupled..." should read --wherein said means for supplying a fuel to the fuel nozzle at a rate is electronically coupled...--. Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Kroneisen et al.* (US # 4,410,854) in view of *Collings et al.* (US # 5,073,753) and *Kostiuk et al.* (US # 5,588,825).

*Koroneisen et al.* disclose an apparatus and method for the monitoring and control of the combustion process in a combustion system including a flame ionization detector which includes a fuel nozzle (8), means for supplying fuel to the nozzle at a first rate, means for supplying oxidizer to the nozzle at a second rate (see col. 3, lines 47-54), a sensor including a first electrode centered in the fuel nozzle (note that the nozzle 8 functions as a central electrode, see col. 2, lines 64-68) and a second electrode (15) radially surrounding the first electrode wherein both electrodes are present in the combustion chamber, a means for applying voltage between the electrodes (see col. 3, lines 46-47). *Koroneisen et al.* further discloses that the second electrode (15) is electrically insulated by means of spacers (17) formed of a ceramic material (See col. 3, lines 38-40).

In regard to the limitation, in claim 1, of an ignition means, while *Koroneisen et al.* does not explicitly disclose an ignitor it would be inherent that in functioning as a flame ionization device requires an ignition means for generating a flame. Further, as noted in *Collings et al.*, flame ionization devices are understood to include ignition devices for the purpose of generating a flame (see 16 of *Collings et al.*).

*Koroneisen et al.* possibly does not disclose explicitly a means for determining the magnitude of a current and that the change in magnitude is proportion to the amount of hydrocarbon ions in the combustion process, or that the nozzle is a lean premix fuel combustion nozzle.

In regard to claim 5, *Kostiuk et al.* teaches a combustion assembly using a lean premix fuel combustion nozzle (see Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the nozzle of *Koroneisen et al.* to be a lean premix fuel nozzle such as that of *Kostiuk et al.* as is it well known that the use of lean premixed fuels in burners result in the production of a lower amount of NOx pollutants (see *Kostiuk et al.*, col. 1, lines 13-24).

In regard to claims 1-16, as noted in *Collings et al.*, it is well known in the art that flame ionization devices are used to measure and control combustion parameters and that it is understood that during the combustion of hydrocarbon fuels, magnitude of the current between two electrodes is proportional to the rate of supply of hydrocarbon ions (see col. 1, lines 15-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art that the flame ionization device of *Koronesien et al.* would include the well known means for measuring current that is proportional to the change in the amount of hydrocarbon ions as taught by *Collings et al.* in order to obtain accurate and consistent measurements of the combustion parameters (see *Collings et al.*, col. 9, lines 61-65).

### ***Conclusion***

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. *Brittan et al.*, *Ogasawara*, *Anderson*, DE 44 25 304, and GB 2 037 066 are included to further show the state of the art concerning flame ionization assemblies including multiple electrodes.


Art Unit: 3743

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Josiah Cocks whose telephone number is (703) 305-0450. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett, can be reached at (703) 308-0101. The fax phone numbers for this Group are (703) 308-7764 for regular communications and (703) 305-3463 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

jcc  
January 27, 2003

  
JOSIAH COCKS  
PATENT EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 3743